	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/586,806	HUS ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	BABAR SARWAR	2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *BABAR SARWAR*.

(3) _____.

(2) *Theodosios Kountotsis (Reg. No. 54,238)*.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *13 January 2012*.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: *1, 15, and 19*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *N/A*.

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Applicant's representative authorized an entry of Examiner's Amendment. Claims 1, 15, and 19 have been amended, which is fully addressed in the Office Action..

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/BABAR SARWAR/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617	